UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,656	12/13/2004	Hitoshi Kidokoro	Q84298	1603
	7590 03/01/200°	EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			HEINRICH, SAMUEL M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/517,656	KIDOKORO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Samuel M. Heinrich	1725

	Samuel M. Heinrich	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 February 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a late a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliatime periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, af Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing da	ate of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPER	706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lamay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding amount e shortened statutory period for reply orig ter than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriation of the fee.	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in cor	nnliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two mont	hs of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exa Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further 			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be	•	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in tappeal; and/or	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	•	ampliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections		omphant Amendment	(FTOL-324).
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be		timely filed amendme	ent cancoling the
non-allowable claim(s).			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action,	but before or on the date of filing a N	latica of Appeal will pe	at he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filir entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanate REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ion of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered See Continuation Sheet.	but does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
		Smul MHe	Low
		Samuel M Heinrich	

Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1725 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the base reference, JP57186378A, describes pulse train control, and each secondary reference provides further description of well known pulse train control features and the use of such control features by thinning out or proportioning the pulse train would have been obvious for improved output of a laser beam for machining.